Kinetic Phase Diagram for Nucleation and Growth of Competing Crystal Polymorphs in Charged Colloids
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We determine the kinetic phase diagram for nucleation and growth of crystal phases in a suspension of charged colloids. Exploiting the seeding approach in extensive simulations, we calculate nucleation barrier heights for face-centered cubic (fcc) and body-centered cubic (bcc) phases for varying screening lengths and supersaturations. We determine for the entire metastable fluid region the crystal polymorph with the lowest nucleation barrier, and find a regime close to the triple point where metastable bcc can form due to a lower nucleation barrier, even though fcc is the stable phase. For higher supersaturation, we find that the difference in barrier heights decreases and we observe a mix of hexagonal close-packed, fcc, and bcc structures in the growth of crystalline seeds as well as in spontaneously formed crystals. Our kinetic phase diagram rationalizes the different crystallization mechanisms observed in previous work.
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Crystallization plays a prominent role in many research areas and industrial processes, including weather prediction, protein characterization, and pharmaceutical drugs production. However, the kinetic pathways of nucleation and the mechanisms of polymorph selection during crystallization are far from being well-understood. For example, the end product of crystallization is not necessarily the stable structure and can even be an undesired phase. More complicated scenarios are also possible with structural transformations taking place at various stages of the crystallization process.

Colloidal suspensions are ideal for studying nucleation and crystallization as the particle coordinates can be tracked by advanced microscopy due to the relatively large size and slow diffusion of the colloids [1–3]. Charge-stabilized colloids are specifically suited for studying the selection between crystal polymorphs, since they show an intriguing competition between face-centered cubic (fcc) and body-centered cubic (bcc) crystal phases. However, the crystallization mechanism in charged colloids is not clear-cut and numerous experimental observations are hitherto unexplained. For instance, the observation of broad fluid-solid and fcc-bcc coexistences in various experiments [4,5] is inconsistent with the theoretical phase diagrams [6] that predict narrow phase coexistences. Furthermore, a wide variety of crystallization mechanisms has been observed in experiments, ranging from a simple one-step nucleation mechanism of fcc crystals [7,8] to the emergence of metastable bcc crystals that subsequently transform into fcc [9,10], as well as the emergence of hexagonal close-packed (hcp) before fcc is formed [11].

Simulations do not seem to reach consensus either, as they report conflicting results such as the observation of predominantly bcc-structured (pre)critical nuclei in regions where fcc is stable [12,13], a two-stage fluid-fcc crystallization via an intermediate bcc phase [14], formation of bcc-ordered precursors [15], or the formation of metastable bcc with numerous cross-nucleations of hcp on stable fcc and fcc on metastable hcp crystals [16]. It is important to note that simulations of crystallization are prohibitively slow because nucleation is a rare event. Hence, simulation studies on nucleation are limited to only a few state points and interaction parameters, making it difficult to obtain a coherent picture of the different nucleation mechanisms. Moreover, these simulations can only be performed at high supersaturations.

To date, we can only rely on simple guidelines to predict how a system crystallizes. In 1879, Ostwald formulated his famous step rule that the phase that nucleates need not be the stable phase, but may also be the phase that is closest in free energy to the metastable fluid phase, i.e., the less stable polymorph. This would result in a complete reversal of the thermodynamic phase diagram, i.e., fcc nucleates when bcc is stable and bcc forms when fcc is stable. In the 1930s, Stranski and Totomanov conjectured that the phase that nucleates should have the lowest free-energy barrier with the fluid phase, which can be different from the stable phase. Finally, Alexander and McTague argued on the basis of Landau theory and general symmetry considerations that nucleation of bcc is always favored at low supersaturations in the case of weakly first-order freezing transitions [17]. It is clear that these rules of thumb are too general to be universally valid. For example, both Ostwald’s step rule and the Stranski-Totomanov conjecture were shown to be violated in a lattice model of patchy particles [18]. For charged colloids, at high screening close to the hard-sphere
limit, bcc is mechanically unstable thereby violating Alexander and McTague’s conjecture.

In this Letter, we present a coherent picture of the different crystallization scenarios of charged colloids. We first determine the equilibrium phase diagram as a function of screening length and pressure using free-energy calculations. We then calculate the nucleation barrier heights for the full region of the phase diagram where fcc is the thermodynamically stable phase using extensive simulations based on the seeding technique [19]. The seeding approach allows us not only to determine nucleation barriers at relatively low supersaturation but also to compare the barrier heights of competing crystal structures. We then characterize the structure of growing crystals obtained from both seeded and brute-force simulations. In this way, we obtain a kinetic phase diagram containing information about the nucleation as well as the growth stages of crystallization.

We consider a charge-stabilized colloidal suspension, which is well-described by a system where the electrostatic interactions between the colloids are described by a screened Coulomb (Yukawa) potential,

\[ \beta u_\text{Y}(r) = \beta e \frac{\exp[-\kappa \sigma (r/\sigma - 1)]}{r/\sigma}, \]

with \( \beta e \) the contact value and \( 1/\kappa \sigma \) the Debye screening length that determine the strength and range of the repulsion, respectively. The excluded-volume interactions between the colloids are represented by a pseudo-hard-core potential \( \beta u_{\text{PHS}}(r) \) that reproduces well the hard-sphere equation of state [20]. The total interaction potential of the pseudo-hard-core Yukawa system reads \( \beta u(r) = \beta u_\text{Y}(r) + \beta u_{\text{PHS}}(r) \). We set \( \beta e = 81 \) throughout this Letter. We note that for this high contact value the phase behavior of this pseudo-hard-core Yukawa system can be mapped onto that of point Yukawa particles as shown in Ref. [6]. Consequently, our results are valid for any contact value that is sufficiently high, i.e., \( \beta e > 20 \), by exploiting the mapping of point Yukawa particles onto hard-core Yukawa particles [6].

We determine the bulk equilibrium phase diagram for charged colloids with a contact value \( \beta e = 81 \) using free-energy calculations; see Supplemental Material (SM) [21] for technical details. We present the phase diagram in the reduced pressure \( \beta P \sigma^3 \)-Debye screening length \( 1/\kappa \sigma \) representation in Fig. 1. The phase diagram displays fluid-fcc, fluid-bcc, and bcc-fcc binodals and their metastable extensions, denoted by solid and dotted lines, respectively, as well as a triple point at Debye screening length \( 1/\kappa \sigma \approx 0.22 \) and pressure \( \beta P \sigma^3 \approx 6.7 \) in good agreement with Ref. [6]. Hence, the bcc phase is only stable for \( 1/\kappa \sigma \gtrsim 0.22 \). In addition, we identify the stability regions of the fluid and the bcc phase, by determining at which pressure the fluid spontaneously crystallizes and the bcc spontaneously transforms into fcc. We denote the boundaries where fluid and bcc become unstable by a dash-dotted and dashed line, respectively. It is clear that at high screening the Alexander-McTague conjecture stating that nucleation of bcc should be favored near melting is violated as the bcc phase is simply unstable. Moreover, both the Alexander-McTague conjecture and Ostwald’s step rule stating that the least stable polymorph should nucleate first cannot be valid in the region between the fluid-fcc binodal and the metastable fluid-bcc binodal as the bcc phase has a higher Gibbs free energy than the fluid phase; see also SM [21].

To study the kinetic competition between fcc and bcc crystal polymorphs, we use a method similar to recent work on metastable phases in iron [30]. Compared to Ref. [30], we build our method more explicitly on the seeding technique [19], which has been used and validated in many different systems over the past few years, e.g., in hard spheres [31], oppositely charged colloids [32], and NaCl [33]. The seeding technique allows us to efficiently measure the nucleation barriers for relatively low supersaturation, but more importantly to also compare directly the nucleation barriers of fcc and bcc. The method relies on the combination of molecular dynamics simulations with classical nucleation theory. According to that theory, the Gibbs free-energy barrier height \( \Delta G^* \) is related to the supersaturation \( \Delta \mu = \mu_c - \mu_f \), i.e., the difference in chemical potential between the stable crystal \( \mu_c \) and supersaturated fluid phase \( \mu_f \) as

\[ \Delta G^* = \frac{1}{2} N^* |\Delta \mu|, \]
region denoted by red where bcc has a lower nucleation barrier than fcc, and a green region, where fcc has a lower nucleation barrier than bcc. For sufficiently high pressures (marked with triangles) the nucleation barriers of fcc and bcc become indistinguishable within our statistical accuracy. Interestingly, there is a region near the triple point where bcc has a lower nucleation barrier than fcc even though it is metastable. This marked result can be explained by a lower interfacial free energy of the fluid with bcc compared to that with fcc, and is thus a manifestation of Ostwald’s step rule and Alexander and McTague’s conjecture. On the other hand, in a large region near the fluid-fcc binodal, the stable fcc phase has a lower nucleation barrier than the metastable bcc phase. Therefore, in this region both Ostwald’s step rule and Alexander and McTague’s conjecture are violated.

Finally, we turn our attention to the crystal growth regime. We select the seeding simulations that resulted in crystal growth, and use only simulations with pressures \( P \) close to the critical pressure (\( \beta | P - P^* | \sigma^3 < 0.2 \)). We determine the structural composition of the resulting crystals using polyhedral template matching [34]. For low supersaturations, i.e., for pressures close to bulk coexistence, relatively pure crystals are observed. More precisely, we observe that seeds with the crystal structure corresponding to the lowest nucleation barrier retain their initial crystal structure during growth. Notably, bcc seeds in the metastable bcc region grow out to pure bcc crystals as shown in Fig. 3(b), demonstrating that a proper metastable bcc phase forms in this region. For high screening \( 1/\kappa \sigma < 0.15 \) and low supersaturations, fcc seeds grow out into a mixture of fcc and hcp due to stacking faults as shown in Fig. 3(d). On the other hand, there is a large region at higher supersaturation, where fcc and bcc seeds grow out into a polycrystalline mixture of fcc, hcp, and bcc grains. Interestingly, this region corresponds exactly to the region where the nucleation barriers as determined from the seeding approach become indistinguishable (see SM [21]). Additionally, we find that in this region, the fraction of bcc increases with pressure and \( 1/\kappa \sigma \).

To test our predictions from the seeding simulations, we also perform brute-force crystallization simulations. We again find in agreement with the seeding simulations that the resulting crystals consist of a mixture of fcc, hcp, and bcc grains as determined by polyhedral template matching. To quantify this further, we determine the composition of the crystals by counting the number of fcc, hcp, and bcc particles in the observed nuclei. In Fig. 4, we plot the probability to observe a crystal cluster consisting of \( N_{\text{bcc}} \) and \( N_{\text{fcc}} + N_{\text{hcp}} \) particles in a two-dimensional histogram. Figure 4 clearly shows that the structure of spontaneously formed nuclei are dominated by fcc and hcp in both the nucleation and growth regime, but there are also bcc grains present, even in very large nuclei. As an example, Fig. 3(c) shows a cross section of a spontaneously formed crystal at a
pressure $\beta P \sigma^3$ and screening length $1/\kappa \sigma$. This nucleus of approximately $10^5$ particles clearly shows a combination of fcc, hcp, and bcc crystal grains. We also observe from Fig. 4 that the fraction of bcc increases upon increasing $1/\kappa \sigma$, supporting our earlier findings from seeding simulations.

In conclusion, we summarized our results on crystal polymorph selection in nucleation and growth in a kinetic phase diagram for charged colloids. Our findings as obtained from extensive seeding and brute-force simulations reflect the diversity of previously observed crystallization phenomena in charged colloidal suspensions. For sufficiently low supersaturations, relatively pure crystals are observed, ranging from fcc and hcp to metastable bcc to bcc, upon increasing $1/\kappa \sigma$. The formation of pure metastable bcc phases are in agreement with earlier observations from simulations and experiments [9,10,12,14,15]. For higher supersaturations, we find a mix of fcc, hcp, and bcc crystal grains in a broad region of the phase diagram, in contrast to the predictions of a narrow fcc-bcc coexistence from free-energy calculations [6]. Our findings of a mix of fcc, hcp, and bcc may explain the experimentally observed broad fcc-bcc coexistence region [4,5].
which may be considered as a nonequilibrium state arising by the nucleation and crystallization kinetics. Although fcc and hcp dominate here, the fraction of bcc increases with pressure and screening length.

Comparing our results with Ostwald’s step rule or Alexander and McTague’s conjecture demonstrates that these crystallization phenomena cannot be captured by simple rules of thumb. By combining our results on the nucleation barriers, spontaneous crystallization, and seeded crystal growth, we obtain a consistent and coherent picture of the different crystallization scenarios for charged colloids. Moreover, our approach is generally applicable and provides a suitable method to effectively predict polymorph selection in future nucleation studies, e.g., oppositely charged colloids [32,35].
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